arXiv:1610.04239v1 [astro-ph.EP] 13 Oct 2016

Mass Extinctions and a Dark Disk

Eric David Kramer, Michael Rowan

Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 02138

ABSTRACT

We consider whether the observed periodicity of mass extinctions and of comet impacts on Earth is consistent with Solar oscillation about the Galactic midplane and spiral arm crossings. It is of further interest to determine whether a hypothetical thin dark disk is necessary to give the right periodicity, and whether such a dark disk is allowed given kinematic and other observational constaints on the Galaxy's gravitational potential. We show that a dark disk consistent with recent bounds, combined with data for spiral arm crossing, can lead to the required periodicity. Moreover, we find that the best fit values correctly predict the date of the Chicxulub crater dated to 66 My ago.

1. Introduction

Although the subject of much controversy, many authors have suggested a periodicity in the impact craters on earth (Randall & Reece 2014; Rampino 2015, and references therein). A possible cause for this observed approximately 35 My periodicity is the oscillation of the Sun vertically about the Milky Way disk. Oscillations through high density regions in the Galactic disk could perturb the Oort cloud, inducing comet impacts on the Earth.

Shoemaker et al. (1988) first estimated that 80% of terrestrial craters having diameter > 100 km (and 50% of the terrestrial craters > 50 km) are produced by long-period comets. Shoemaker (1998) also pointed out that the ages of these large craters, as well as infall of cosmic dust, seem to be in correlation with the oscillations of the Sun about the Galactic midplane as estimated by Matese et al. (1995). There were, however, several problems. The first is that the visible mass present in the Galactic disk does not predict the correct periodicity for these oscillations. Using the visible mass alone, plus the contribution from a dark halo predicts an oscillation period of almost 45 My (Matese et al. 2001; Rampino 2015). Authors who have computed a period consistent with the crater record (Bahcall & Bahcall 1985; Matese et al. 1995) have assumed some amount of hidden matter in the disk. This hidden mass could be present in the form of an additional molecular gas component. The Planck collaboration has indeed measured dark gas with about 100% of H_2 mass (Planck Collaboration et al. 2011). However, we show in Section 3 that this is still not enough to account for the period discrepency. An alternate solution is to assume some dark matter concentrated in the galactic disk, besides that present in the dark matter halo (Bahcall & Bahcall 1985; Matese et al. 1995; Shaviv et al. 2014). Indeed, Fan et al. (2013) have recently proposed a

theory where a small component of dark matter could have dissipative dynamics and cool to form a disk. Randall & Reece (2014) have shown that such a dark disk could give rise to Solar oscillations that predict the comet bombardment rate on earth within the allowed uncertainties.

An important question is whether such a dark disk is allowed given the observed kinematics of local Milky Way stars. In fact, Matese et al. (2001) claims that the amount of dark disk mass needed to account for the comet rate was ruled out by the Holmberg & Flynn (2000) study (HF2000). However, it has recently been shown (Kramer & Randall 2016b) that non-equilibrium features in the HF2000 sample, namely a net vertical velocity and displacement relative to the Galactic midplane, severely bias the HF2000 results. Accounting for these features give an upper bound of about $12\,M_\odot \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$ for low scale heights (~ 10 pc), and an even higher upper bound for thicker scale heights.

A potential difficulty with the dark disk solution is that it a thin disk such as needed by Randall & Reece (2014) may be unstable (Toomre 1964). An estimate stability bound was recently derived by Shaviv (2016). This stability bound would potentially require a dark disk thicker than about 30 pc (Kramer & Randall 2016a). A question is therefore whether such a thick disk could sufficiently perturb the Oort cloud to cause comet impacts to match the periodicity. We show in this paper that this is indeed the case.

An additional concern with the dark disk hypothesis is that there are some major comet events in the history of the Earth that fail to match the oscillation pattern. Specifically, the Popigai and Chicxulub craters are separated by an interval slightly smaller than the 32 My period predicted by the Solar oscillations (Earth Impact Database 2016). However, it is clear that over the history of the Earth it is important to take into account spiral arm crossings. We show that when spiral arms are taken into account, the predicted rate not only matches the comet impact rate, but also correctly predicts the date of the crater that killed the dinosaurs 66 My ago due to the reduced period of the oscillations during the 50 My spent crossing the Carina / Sagittarius arm.

2. Analysis

We compute the oscillations of the Sun through the galactic plane by integrating the motion of the Sun in the potential computed assuming the mass model described in Kramer & Randall (2016b,a).

We followed the Bayesian analysis of Randall & Reece (2014) in comparing the predicted comet rates from oscillations to a constant comet rate. We used the craters dated to the past 250 My from the Earth Impact Database, developed and maintained by the Planetary and Space Science Center of the University of New Brunswick. We also follow Renne et al. (2013) in correcting the

age of the Chicxulub crater from 64.98 ± 0.05 My to 66.04 ± 0.05 My[1]. We included only craters larger than a certain diameter; we performed the analysis for diameter cutoffs of $D = 20$ km, 40 km, and 50 km, giving sample sizes of $N_D = 26$, 13, and 7 craters respectively. Although the 50 km sample is small, according to Shoemaker et al. (1988), it contains craters with a high probability of being caused by comets and not asteroids.

In the oscillation model, we convolved the predicted tidal force with the comet shower profile of Hut et al. (1987). In the constant rate model, we assumed a constant rate of N_D comets per 250 My. We defined the likelihood to be given by the L2 inner product of the predicted rate and the comet history, expressed as a probability density $P(t)$ in time:

$$\text{Likelihood} \propto \int_0^{250\ \text{Mya}} \text{Rate}(t) \times P(t)\, dt. \tag{1}$$

The likelihood ratio would therefore be given by the ratio of this quantity computed for the oscillatory model to the quantity computed assuming a constant average rate for the comets.

Other effects we account for here are spiral arm crossing and radial oscillations. We assume spiral arms with an amplitude $A = 0.1 - 0.3$ (Hessman 2015). This corresponds to an arm-interarm density ratio of 1.2-1.9 (Binney & Tremaine 2008, Ch.6). As we are currently entering the Orion spur, we assume the current locally measured density to represent the azimuthally averaged density of the disk at the Solar radius. For radial oscillations, we assume a 17% variation in density (Shaviv et al. 2014) with a period of $1.35\,\Omega_0$ (Binney & Tremaine 2008, Ch. 3), where $\Omega_0 = V_c/R_\odot$ is the angular velocity of the circular orbit at the position of the Sun. According to Reid et al. (2014), $V_c + V_\odot = 255 \pm 5\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$. With a measured tangential velocity of $V_\odot = 26 \pm 3\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$ (Bovy et al. 2012), we therefore estimate $V_c = 229 \pm 6\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$, with the Gillessen et al. (2009) value of $R_\odot = 8.3\,\mathrm{kpc}$. Based on the above value of $V_\odot$, as well as on the Sun's radial velocity $U_\odot = 11 \pm 1\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$, we estimate the present phase of the Sun in these oscillations to be 35°.

Since there is a lot of uncertainty in the position of the Sun relative to the galactic plane, this will certainly affect whether the model can account for the dinosaur extinction. We therefore compute the likelihood for the value $Z_\odot = 26$ pc (Majaess et al. 2009) as well as for other values of $Z_\odot$. We also varied the $\mathrm{sech}^2(z/2h_D)$ scale height h_D of the dark disk, as well as the vertical velocity of the Sun W_0 (Schönrich et al. 2010) and the dark halo density ρ_{halo} (Bovy & Tremaine 2012).

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows the likelihood ratio for the two models as a function of dark disk surface density Σ_D. Although we performed the analysis for various choices of parameters as we show below, in this

[1]This may also explain why no ^{3}He flux increase, associated with comet showers, was observed between 63.9 and 65.4 Mya (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2001).

particular figure a $\mathrm{sech}^2(z/2h_D)$ scale height of $h_D = 30$ pc (Kramer & Randall 2016a) and a spiral arm amplitude of $A = 0.2$ were assumed. We also set the height of the Sun above the Galactic plane to be $Z_\odot = 26\,\mathrm{pc}$ (Majaess et al. 2009), the vertical velocity of the Sun to be $W_0 = 7.25\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$ (Schönrich et al. 2010), and the dark halo density to be $\rho_{\mathrm{halo}} = 0.008\,M_\odot\,\mathrm{pc}^{-3}$ (Bovy & Tremaine 2012). A crater diameter cutoff of 2 km was imposed. We see that the likelihood ratio is as large as 20 in favor of an oscillatory model, at the best fit value $\Sigma_D \simeq 9\,M_\odot \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$. We also see that the relative rate of predicted comet impacts at age 66 My also is quite high near this value, indicating that the model correctly predicts the date of the 66 Mya Chicxulub crater.

Figure 2 shows the predicted comet rate in the oscillatory model superposed on the crater record. The time spent within spiral arms is shown by the double arrows. We can see that the the comet rate is consistent with the oscillations of the Sun when spiral arm crossing is taken into account. In particular, the Chicxulub crater matches the predicted rate.

Figure 3 shows the effect of varying the spiral arm amplitude A, the dark disk scale height h_D, the present height of the Sun above the Galactic plane $Z_\odot$, the Sun's vertical velocity W_0, and the dark halo density ρ_{halo} according to the ranges in Hessman (2015), Kramer & Randall (2016b), Shaviv et al. (2014, Suppl.Mat.), Schönrich et al. (2010), Bovy & Tremaine (2012). As discussed in Kramer & Randall (2016b), the $Z_\odot$ is quite uncertain. We see that varying A does not have a large effect of the likelihood ratio, while it does affect the interpretation of the Chicxulub crater. Varying h_D affects the best-fit value of Σ_D, with larger scale heights allowing more mass, as should be expected. Varying $Z_\odot$ does not significantly affect the results.

Figure 4 shows the result of the computation (left) without including radial oscillations and spiral arm crossing and (right) including radial oscillations but no spiral arm crossing. In both cases we see that although the data favors a higher dark disk surface density Σ_D, it also predicts a relatively lower comet shower rate at the Chicxulub date 66 Mya. Only by including the spiral arm crossings does the crater date correspond to a peak in the comet rate.

Figure 5 shows the effect of varying the crater diameter cutoff. We find that the best fit values do not depend on the diameter cutoff, although a much higher significance is obtained for the 20 km cutoff, containing 26 craters.

4. Conclusions

We have shown that a dark disk consistent with stability bounds can indeed account for the observed comet rate on Earth. We have also shown that spiral arm crossings are crucial in accounting for variations in the comet rate. In particular, the cratering history on earth, including the date of the Chicxulub crater 66 Mya, is only consistent with the predicted comet shower rate when the spiral arms are taken into account.

Fig. 1.— The blue curve shows the likelihood ratio of oscillating Sun model relative to the constant rate model. It shows a peak near $\Sigma_D = 9\ M_\odot \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$. The red curve shows the predicted comet rate at age 66 My as function of Σ_D. The dark disk scale height was set to $h_D = 30\mathrm{pc}$.



Fig. 2.— The figure shows the history of craters larger than 50 km in diameter over the past 250 My as a probability density in age, as well as the predicted comet rate (in arbitrary units) assuming Solar oscillations with a best fit dark disk surface density of $\Sigma_D = 9\, M_\odot \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$. Each blue spike represents a crater. The craters seems to have a $\sim$ 30 My period between each other, but the recent craters of Popigai (36 Mya) and Chicxulub (66 Mya) are separated by only 29 My. The figure shows that the 50 My spent crossing the Carina / Sagittarius arm reduces the period for long enough to account for this smaller interval.



Fig. 3.— Plots as in Figure 1. The first row shows the effect of varying the spiral arm amplitude A. The second row shows the effect of varying the dark disk scale height h_D. The third row shows the effect of varying the height of the Sun $Z_\odot$. The fourth row shows the effect of varying the Sun's vertical velocity W_0. The fifth row shows the effect of varying the dark halo density $\rho_{\rm halo}$.


A = 0.1 , h_D = 30pc
Z_0 = 26 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.008 M_sol pc^-2
A = 0.3 , h_D = 30pc
Z_0 = 26 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.008 M_sol pc^-2
A = 0.2 , h_D = 15pc
Z_0 = 26 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.008 M_sol pc^-2
A = 0.2 , h_D = 45pc
Z_0 = 26 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.008 M_sol pc^-2
A = 0.2 , h_D = 30pc
Z_0 = 7 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.008 M_sol pc^-2
A = 0.2 , h_D = 30pc
Z_0 = 16 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.008 M_sol pc^-2
A = 0.2 , h_D = 30pc
Z_0 = 26 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.008 M_sol pc^-2
A = 0.2 , h_D = 30pc
Z_0 = 26 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.008 M_sol pc^-2
A = 0.2 , h_D = 30pc
Z_0 = 26 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.005 M_sol pc^-2
A = 0.2 , h_D = 30pc
Z_0 = 26 pc , w_0 = 7.25 km s^-1
ρ_halo =0.008 M_sol pc^-2
0
20
40
6
8
10
12
Σ_D [M_⊙ pc^-2]

Fig. 4.— Plots as in Figure 1. Left: The result obtained without including radial oscillations and spiral arm crossing. Right: The result obtained including radial oscillations but no spiral arm crossing.



Fig. 5.— Plots as in Figure 1. Here we vary the diameter cutoff between 20 km, 40 km, and 50 km respectively. Although the 20 km diameter cut may include objects that are not comets, a much higher significancance is obtained.



We would like to thank Lisa Randall and Matt Reece for helpful discussions. EDK was supported by NSF grants of LR. EDK and MR were supported by Harvard GSAS, Harvard Department of Physics, and Center for the Fundamental Laws of Nature. LR was supported by NSF grants PHY-0855591 and PHY-1216270.

REFERENCES

Bahcall, J. N., & Bahcall, S. 1985, Nature, 316, 706

Binney, J., & Tremaine, S. 2008, Galactic Dynamics: Second Edition (Princeton University Press)

Bovy, J., & Tremaine, S. 2012, ApJ, 756, 89

Bovy, J., Allende Prieto, C., Beers, T. C., et al. 2012, ApJ, 759, 131

Earth Impact Database. http://www.passc.net/EarthImpactDatabase/

Fan, J., Katz, A., Randall, L., & Reece, M. 2013, Physics of the Dark Universe, 2, 139

Gillessen, S., Eisenhauer, F., Trippe, S., et al. 2009, ApJ, 692, 1075

Hessman, F. V. 2015, A&A, 579, A123

Holmberg, J., & Flynn, C. 2000, MNRAS, 313, 209

Hut, P., Alvarez, W., Elder, W. P., et al. 1987, Nature, 329, 118

Kramer, E. D., & Randall, L. 2016a, ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1603.03058

—. 2016b, ApJ, 824, 116

Majaess, D. J., Turner, D. G., & Lane, D. J. 2009, MNRAS, 398, 263

Matese, J. J., Innanen, K. A., & Valtonen, M. J. 2001, in Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 261, Astrophysics and Space Science Library, ed. M. Y. Marov & H. Rickman, 91–102

Matese, J. J., Whitman, P. G., Innanen, K. A., & Valtonen, M. J. 1995, Icarus, 116, 255

Mukhopadhyay, S., Farley, K. A., & Montanari, A. 2001, Science, 291, 1952

Planck Collaboration, Ade, P. A. R., Aghanim, N., et al. 2011, A&A, 536, A19

Rampino, M. R. 2015, MNRAS, 448, 1816

Randall, L., & Reece, M. 2014, Phys. Rev. Lett., 112, 161301

Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Brunthaler, A., et al. 2014, ApJ, 783, 130

Renne, P. R., Deino, A. L., Hilgen, F. J., et al. 2013, Science, 339, 684

Rohde, R. A., & Muller, R. A. 2005, Nature, 434, 208

Schönrich, R., Binney, J., & Dehnen, W. 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1829

Shaviv, N. J. 2002, Physical Review Letters, 89, 051102

—. 2016, ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1606.02851

Shaviv, N. J., Prokoph, A., & Veizer, J. 2014, Scientific Reports, 4, 6150

Shoemaker, E. M. 1998, JRASC, 92, 297

Shoemaker, E. M., Shoemaker, C. S., & Wolfe, R. F. 1988, in LPI Contributions, Vol. 673, Global Catastrophes in Earth History: An Interdisciplinary Conference on Impacts, Volcanism, and Mass Mortality

Toomre, A. 1964, ApJ, 139, 1217

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.